

From: Glazer, Thomas [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=6578DEB6A4B042FFBE42A9854429FEB5-GLAZER, THO]
Sent: 12/18/2018 8:15:42 PM
To: Fullagar, Jill [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=7ba061353c314b40a14a8be1ee382ae3-Gable, Jill]; Cora, Lori [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=c8850941bf1540c796559dce75c2f5ee-Cora, Lori]; Hunter, Christopher [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=32905162cc58495da107db89c7dea903-Hunter, Chr]
CC: Croxton, David [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=ca7b9940863640d5b96f4295ea3c9641-Croxton, Dave]; Havard, James [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=9350676809e8403994f1dfc2b7bfdcfe-JHAVARD]
Subject: RE: OR
Attachments: Enclosure 2 EPA Response to Comments OR 2012 303d List Partial Approval Partial Disapproval (TG 12.18).docx; Enclosure 1 EPA Rationale for Action to Add Waters to OR 2012 303d List (TG 12.18).docx

David signed off on this revision. See attached. Thanks.

The EPA also is approving Oregon's decision not to list marine waters with respect to aquatic life impairments because there are no direct scientific observations of biological degradation within Oregon state waters. On March 29, 2017, ODEQ provided comments and its rationale for why it determined that the existing data and information do not support listing Oregon's jurisdictional ocean waters at this time. See 40 CFR §130.7(b)(6)(iii), (iv). The EPA reviewed ODEQ's rationale and determined that it was reasonable for the State not to list its marine waters for aquatic life use impairment at this time for the following reasons. Although several studies document a correlation between the dissolution of pteropod shells and corresponding aragonite saturation state, the EPA acknowledges that the current *in situ* data indicating biological impairment to pteropods is from outside of Oregon's state waters. The State noted that without data about the health of the extant aquatic life within Oregon's waters, it was unable to conclude that there have been detrimental changes to the resident biological communities.

Attorney Client / Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

EPA has determined that, on that basis, it is reasonable for the State to decline to list its marine waters at this time. As water chemistry data taken inside Oregon's state waters document similar conditions that have been observed to be corrosive to pteropods outside state waters, EPA continues to recommend that Oregon's future research efforts in state marine waters include the collection of pteropod data to further understand current water quality conditions and aquatic life impacts within Oregon's territorial waters. The EPA's responses to all ODEQ's comments as well as other comments received on aquatic impairments are contained in Enclosure 2: "EPA Response to Comments on Oregon's 2012 303(d) List Partial Approval/Partial Disapproval," and Enclosure 3: "EPA Site Specific Response to Comments on Oregon 2012 303(d) List," attached to this decision document.

Tom Glazer
USEPA Office of General Counsel
Water Law Office
7426N WJC North
(202) 564-0908

From: Fullagar, Jill
Sent: Tuesday, December 18, 2018 1:25 PM
To: Glazer, Thomas <glazer.thomas@epa.gov>; Cora, Lori <Cora.Lori@epa.gov>; Hunter, Christopher <Hunter.Christopher@epa.gov>
Cc: Croxton, David <Croxton.David@epa.gov>; Havard, James <Havard.James@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: OR

When I call the 855 number and then enter Personal Privacy / Ex. 6 it hangs up on me.

Jill Fullagar, Impaired Waters Coordinator
Watershed Unit, Office of Water and Watersheds
US EPA, Region 10
1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 155 (OWW-192)
Seattle, WA 98101-3140
(206) 553-2582, (206) 553-1280 (fax)
fullagar.jill@epa.gov

From: Fullagar, Jill
Sent: Tuesday, December 18, 2018 10:24 AM
To: Glazer, Thomas <glazer.thomas@epa.gov>; Cora, Lori <Cora.Lori@epa.gov>; Hunter, Christopher <Hunter.Christopher@epa.gov>
Cc: Croxton, David <Croxton.David@epa.gov>; Havard, James <Havard.James@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: OR

Yep. I'm calling in now.

Jill Fullagar, Impaired Waters Coordinator
Watershed Unit, Office of Water and Watersheds
US EPA, Region 10
1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 155 (OWW-192)
Seattle, WA 98101-3140
(206) 553-2582, (206) 553-1280 (fax)
fullagar.jill@epa.gov

From: Glazer, Thomas
Sent: Tuesday, December 18, 2018 10:21 AM
To: Cora, Lori <Cora.Lori@epa.gov>; Fullagar, Jill <Fullagar.Jill@epa.gov>; Hunter, Christopher <Hunter.Christopher@epa.gov>
Cc: Croxton, David <Croxton.David@epa.gov>; Havard, James <Havard.James@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: OR

Lori, Jill, & Chris – can you guys jump on a call right now?

Personal Privacy / Ex. 6

Personal Privacy / Ex. 6

Personal Privacy / Ex. 6

Tom Glazer
USEPA Office of General Counsel
Water Law Office

7426N WJC North
(202) 564-0908

From: Cora, Lori
Sent: Tuesday, December 18, 2018 12:51 PM
To: Havard, James <Havard.James@epa.gov>; Fullagar, Jill <Fullagar.Jill@epa.gov>; Glazer, Thomas <glazer.thomas@epa.gov>; Hunter, Christopher <Hunter.Christopher@epa.gov>
Cc: Croxton, David <Croxton.David@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: OR

Let's think about this a minute: **Attorney Client / Deliberative Process / Ex. 5**

Attorney Client / Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Attorney Client / Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Why should

we?

Lori Houck Cora | Assistant Regional Counsel
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency | Region 10
P: (206) 553.1115 | F: (206) 553.1762 | cora.lori@epa.gov

Follow @EPAnorthwest on Twitter! <https://twitter.com/EPAnorthwest>

From: Havard, James
Sent: Tuesday, December 18, 2018 9:36 AM
To: Fullagar, Jill <Fullagar.Jill@epa.gov>; Glazer, Thomas <glazer.thomas@epa.gov>; Hunter, Christopher <Hunter.Christopher@epa.gov>; Cora, Lori <Cora.Lori@epa.gov>
Cc: Croxton, David <Croxton.David@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: OR

Lori – what are your thoughts on the revisions below?

From: Fullagar, Jill
Sent: Tuesday, December 18, 2018 12:17 PM
To: Glazer, Thomas <glazer.thomas@epa.gov>; Hunter, Christopher <Hunter.Christopher@epa.gov>; Cora, Lori <Cora.Lori@epa.gov>; Havard, James <Havard.James@epa.gov>
Cc: Croxton, David <Croxton.David@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: OR

Thanks Tom. I think that is a little more ambiguous and therefore better. Anyone else? Should I go ahead and change it?

And if so....are we done?????

Jill Fullagar, Impaired Waters Coordinator
Watershed Unit, Office of Water and Watersheds
US EPA, Region 10
1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 155 (OWW-192)
Seattle, WA 98101-3140
(206) 553-2582, (206) 553-1280 (fax)

ED_002660K_00032319-00003

fullagar.jill@epa.gov

From: Glazer, Thomas
Sent: Tuesday, December 18, 2018 9:13 AM
To: Hunter, Christopher <Hunter.Christopher@epa.gov>; Fullagar, Jill <Fullagar.Jill@epa.gov>; Cora, Lori <Cora.Lori@epa.gov>; Havard, James <Havard.James@epa.gov>
Cc: Croxton, David <Croxton.David@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: OR

Thanks. I just want see if we can find a palatable and justifiable way to address the spirit of his comment. What about this?

Attorney Client / Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Tom Glazer
USEPA Office of General Counsel
Water Law Office
7426N WJC North
(202) 564-0908

From: Hunter, Christopher
Sent: Tuesday, December 18, 2018 12:07 PM
To: Fullagar, Jill <Fullagar.Jill@epa.gov>; Glazer, Thomas <glazer.thomas@epa.gov>; Cora, Lori <Cora.Lori@epa.gov>; Havard, James <Havard.James@epa.gov>
Cc: Croxton, David <Croxton.David@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: OR

That was my read as well Jill. I didn't agree with the comment bubble proposal, but didn't have a strong reaction to the specific language inserted. However, based on what we've said in the past, I think Jill's edits would be the best bet.

Chris Hunter
202.566.1454
Watershed Branch, Office of Water
US Environmental Protection Agency

From: Fullagar, Jill
Sent: Tuesday, December 18, 2018 12:02 PM
To: Glazer, Thomas <glazer.thomas@epa.gov>; Cora, Lori <Cora.Lori@epa.gov>; Havard, James <Havard.James@epa.gov>; Hunter, Christopher <Hunter.Christopher@epa.gov>
Cc: Croxton, David <Croxton.David@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: OR

I think I am reacting more to what I take to be his comment embedded in your comment:

Attorney Client / Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Attorney Client / Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Jill Fullagar, Impaired Waters Coordinator
Watershed Unit, Office of Water and Watersheds
US EPA, Region 10
1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 155 (OWW-192)
Seattle, WA 98101-3140
(206) 553-2582, (206) 553-1280 (fax)
fullagar.jill@epa.gov

From: Glazer, Thomas
Sent: Tuesday, December 18, 2018 8:51 AM
To: Fullagar, Jill <Fullagar.Jill@epa.gov>; Cora, Lori <Cora.Lori@epa.gov>; Havard, James <Havard.James@epa.gov>; Hunter, Christopher <Hunter.Christopher@epa.gov>
Cc: Croxton, David <Croxton.David@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: OR

Thanks. I'm curious what others think.

Attorney Client / Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Is this worth a quick call?

Tom Glazer
USEPA Office of General Counsel
Water Law Office
7426N WJC North
(202) 564-0908

From: Fullagar, Jill
Sent: Tuesday, December 18, 2018 11:38 AM
To: Glazer, Thomas <glazer.thomas@epa.gov>; Cora, Lori <Cora.Lori@epa.gov>; Havard, James <Havard.James@epa.gov>; Hunter, Christopher <Hunter.Christopher@epa.gov>
Cc: Croxton, David <Croxton.David@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: OR

Personally, no, I'm not comfortable with that. That is absolutely contrary to what we said in our briefing papers. We said during the last list, when we looked at extrapolating from Tribal to state waters, it was not appropriate because of changes in riverine inputs, land use, etc. We said in the briefing papers for this list:

Attorney Client / Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Jill Fullagar, Impaired Waters Coordinator
Watershed Unit, Office of Water and Watersheds
US EPA, Region 10
1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 155 (OWW-192)
Seattle, WA 98101-3140
(206) 553-2582, (206) 553-1280 (fax)
fullagar.jill@epa.gov

From: Glazer, Thomas
Sent: Tuesday, December 18, 2018 6:47 AM
To: Fullagar, Jill <Fullagar.Jill@epa.gov>; Cora, Lori <Cora.Lori@epa.gov>; Havard, James <Havard.James@epa.gov>; Hunter, Christopher <Hunter.Christopher@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: OR

Fotouhi had one suggested addition. Can you take a look at my proposed changes in the attached documents and let me know what you think?

Thanks,
Tom

Tom Glazer
USEPA Office of General Counsel
Water Law Office
7426N WJC North
(202) 564-0908

From: Fullagar, Jill
Sent: Thursday, December 13, 2018 4:58 PM
To: Cora, Lori <Cora.Lori@epa.gov>; Glazer, Thomas <glazer.thomas@epa.gov>; Havard, James <Havard.James@epa.gov>; Hunter, Christopher <Hunter.Christopher@epa.gov>
Subject: OR

Hi all,

I just ran into John Goodin and he talked to Anna and was told that we are good to go from their end. She just wants OGC's ok on the OA rationale piece. She didn't need review of the rest, just the OA. So...Tom, if you

can follow up on that end and let me know if you need anything else, I think we can get this puppy outta here
😊 Thanks.

jill